# New Frontier

PETROL PRICE RISES A BIG RACKET (PAGE 2)



APRIL 1981 10p

VOICE OF THE NEW NATIONAL FRONT


# WHITES THREATENED BY RACE WAR

**While media spotlight incidents against immigrants, the real truth is that it is the native British people who are the main victims of violence.**

**THE ENEMIES OF BRITAIN are combining at the moment to pull one of the biggest ever hoaxes on the British people. They have launched a gigantic publicity campaign intended to persuade us that White 'racialists' are conducting a war of violence and terror against Coloured immigrants.**

**Whereas the true facts are exactly the reverse. The real war of violence and terror is being waged, not against Coloured immigrants, BUT AGAINST THE INDIGENOUS WHITE BRITISH PEOPLE.**

Over the mass media, day and night, journalists and broadcasters of obvious left-wing sympathies are seizing upon every little incident, real or invented, to paint a picture of immigrants being threatened and attacked in the streets and in their homes – while they conveniently ignore or play down the hundred-fold more incidents in which immigrants are the aggressors and Whites the victims.

Recently a deputation of 'racial minority' groups, headed by a representative of the Jewish Board of Deputies, visited the Home Secretary and presented to him a catalogue of 250 'racialist' attacks against Jews and Coloured people stated to have occurred over an 18-month period. This visit received much publicity in the newspapers.

Meanwhile White people all over Britain are being subjected to attacks by Coloured immigrants by the hundreds every week, yet no hue and cry is being raised on their behalf. Look at any local newspaper in any area where there is a substantial immigrant population – and that amounts to an awful lot of areas of this country – and you will probably be able to read of at least one case in the courts of mugging or rape in which the culprit is Coloured and the victim is White. Multiply these, area by area, over a period of a year **and you will get a figure of incidents running into the tens of thousands.**

Yet no one in the mass media thinks of describing these as 'racialist attacks'!

- **In St. Pauls district of Bristol just over a year ago a large Black mob went berserk and tore the district apart, attacking police, looting, burning and terrorising native inhabitants.**
- **In the Ladywood district of Birmingham at the beginning of this year about 200 Blacks went on the rampage and attacked the police who had come to the area to follow up complaints from local Whites about the deafening noise made at one of the Blacks' New Year parties.**
- **In London last month a march by Blacks turned into a riot as many of the marchers turned against the police and attacked passers-by, then looted shops along the route.**

These are just three of many such riots that have been taking place up and down Britain. The rioters have been Black. Very few comparable cases exist of riots caused by Whites.

In just one night in the Midlands last month the following incidents occurred, as reported in this cutting from the *Daily Mirror*:–

> In **WOLVERHAMPTON** WPc Deborah Wilcox, 22, was clubbed unconscious in a car park as she patrolled.
>
> In **BIRMINGHAM**, Pc Keith Summers was stoned by a dozen West Indian youths as he sat in his Panda car.
>
> In **COVENTRY**, a gang of youngsters smashed windows and stoned police during a running battle.

You will note that only in the Birmingham case the race of the assailants was stated. In fact in both the other incidents, in Wolverhampton and Coventry, Coloureds were responsible as well, as we later found out; but the paper chose not to mention this. No doubt its Editor thought that one case, involving Blacks among three was as much as it was 'safe' to report to his readers. Anything more than this and those readers might get the idea that there was a war by Blacks against Whites instead of the reverse!

## VIOLENT INCIDENTS

The series of violent incidents caused by the Black marchers through London last month contrasts vividly with the wholly peaceful and orderly conduct of those Whites who have marched in protest **against** Coloured Immigration. There have been no cases of these White marchers attacking the police or the public, let alone looting shops. All the disorder that has occurred on these marches has been caused by Blacks and extreme left-wingers who have attacked the marchers and the police.

And what were the Blacks marching through London about? Apparently, a fire in a house in New Cross in which 13 Black children lost their lives and which the Blacks ever since have been screaming was a 'White racialist crime'. In fact all the evidence that police have been able to gather shows that the fire could not possibly have been started by Whites but must have been caused by Blacks themselves present in the house at the time, either through malice or stupidity. This has not prevented the marxists in the mass media from including the event in the catalogue of acts of 'racist terror'.

This paper is totally opposed to acts of violence against people on account of their race, whether they be White or Black. Although we want to see Britain's Blacks eventually repatriated from this country, this should be done by peaceful means, and in the meantime those Blacks should be left alone to live their own lives in peace.

## ATTACKS BY BLACKS ON WHITES FAR MORE NUMEROUS

We believe, however, that the picture of an organised and deliberate campaign by White 'racists' against Coloured people is a false one – and deliberately false at that. The genuine incidents of Whites attacking Blacks, while they are to be deplored, are minute in number and the work of a few sick individuals not encouraged by any White racial political group. At the same time the incidents of Blacks attacking Whites are many hundreds of times more numerous – and in a lot of cases **can** be proven to be politically motivated.

Why are the reds in the media distorting the facts about inter-racial violence? Very simple: the object is to discredit White patriotic groups who seek the peaceful removal of the coloured population from this country – by tarring those groups with the taint of violence that is not their responsibility and which they oppose.

The **real** race war, as we have said, is being waged against the **Whites** of this country: helpless old folk attacked and robbed; police beaten up as they go about their duty; and members of White patriotic groups as they campaign against the Coloured invasion.

The aggressors are Black – not all Blacks but a nasty element among the Blacks, whipped up in the background by left-wing agitators, some Black, some White, and encouraged by the merchants of untruth who pollute the worlds of journalism and broadcasting.

There is one way for the White population of this country to defend itself in this war. It is not the way of violence or unlawfulness – that just plays into our enemies' hands; it is the way of **political action**, peaceful, lawful and orderly. **THE NEW NATIONAL FRONT OFFERS THAT WAY. LET'S TAKE IT BEFORE IT IS TOO LATE!**

**BRISTOL**

**Blacks attack police in April last year before going on an orgy of burning and looting.**

**BIRMINGHAM**

**Police car windows smashed after savage riot by Blacks in Ladywood district in January.**

**LONDON**

**Black mob surge against police barrier during riotous march last month.**

# New Frontier

No. 3 APRIL 1981

*Editor:* Ronald Rickcord
*Deputy Editor:* Kevin Randall

*New Frontier,* PO Box 115, Hove, E. Sussex BN3 3SB.
Tel: 0273 777540


## THE BRITISH NATIONALITY BILL

READERS of *New Frontier* will be well aware that Parliament has degenerated into little more than a talking shop wherein important issues are skimped or submerged beneath a welter of verbiage. One such example is the British Nationality Bill now going through Parliament.

Based on the Labour Government's Green Paper of 1977, the Bill is supposedly intended to determine who has the right to live in Britain. Certainly there is a need for revising nationality legislation – if only to end the absurdity whereby some 950 million people can claim to be "British" with a corresponding right of abode in this country. If such were indeed the Government's aim, we would wholeheartedly support the Bill; but any sort of limitation of the number of people allowed to enter Britain is not what the Government has in mind, as the White paper published last July makes abundantly clear.

In essence, the Bill is a crude attempt to pacify the public, which is becoming increasingly alarmed by the accelerating rate of immigration. The Government's failure to limit immigration, despite Tory promises to do so, is also causing widespread anger. How well we remember the words which appeared in the Tories' 1970 election manifesto: "There will be no further large scale permanent immigration." More than a decade later we are still waiting for that promise to be kept.

So the Bill is nothing more than an elaborate smoke screen which was drafted in as complicated and ambiguous a manner as possible, presumably in the hope that the fewer people who understood it, the smoother its passage through Parliament was likely to be.

In the event, the Bill's lack of clarity provided the vociferous multiracialists with just the excuse they needed to call Mr. Whitelaw and his colleagues "racists". Stung by this accusation, the Cabinet was frightened into amending the Bill to enable children born in the UK – whether or not their parents are British – to acquire British citizenship after 10 years' continuous residence. In addition, it was agreed that immigrants who become British citizens by registration will be allowed to transmit that citizenship to their children born overseas.

It is therefore quite clear that the amended Bill will simply perpetuate the crazy system whereby nationality is determined by place of birth rather than by blood relationship, as it ought to be. The Bill must be scrapped.

We propose that a new Bill be drafted which contains two essential ingredients in order to restore some semblance of sanity to our nationality laws. Firstly, the 1948 Nationality Act, which made British Subjects of a quarter of the world's population, must be repealed – and with retrospective effect. Secondly, British citizenship should be conferred only on those people who can prove lineal descent from pre-1948 British or kindred European forebears.

It is only by adopting such measures that we will prevent the extinction of the British Race.

# PETROL PRICE RISES A BIG RACKET

THE OUTRAGEOUS petrol prices that successive governments have been imposing on the British motorist need never have occurred. They are not, as some would have us believe, the result of the working of any natural economic laws; they are nothing but a gigantic internationalist racket.

When the OPEC countries started raising oil prices some years ago, there was no reason why this should have affected the price of petrol in the UK to any substantial degree. Unlike most of the developed industrial nations, Britain is nearly self-sufficient in this commodity due to her offshore oilfields. While we could have raised our export prices up to international levels, we could equally have kept our domestic prices down. This would have meant, not only that the private motorist could have enjoyed much cheaper petrol than his counterparts elsewhere, but – much more important – industry could have done so as well, thus giving it a tremendous competitive advantage both in foreign and home markets.

Of course this would have meant less government rev.nue from British oil – but this would have been more than balanced by a much more prosperous domestic economy – thus requiring less government aid.

Wage levels among workers on our offshore oil rigs have of course risen substantially in recent times, but this alone would nowhere near account for the massive fuel price increases that have hit the British people.

Now the Thatcher Government has put the boot in even further. In its recent budget it has put petrol up by the staggering sum of 20p per gallon!

Why have we had these ridiculous price rises? Because the Government of this country is a party to an international agreement, hatched in the most hallowed inner sanctums of international finance and global politics, which aims to milk the assets of the Western nations so as to depress their living standards, while engaging in a mammoth transfer of wealth to the so-called 'Third World' – to which the British Government is currently giving away nearly £1,000 million a year while it is forcing through biting economies at home.



*WORKERS ON THE OIL RIGS*
*Prices have risen far beyond their wage increases.*

**The British people could have cheap fuel at home – for their cars, for their domestic heating and for industry – if only our economic system was run along nationalist lines, exploiting our own national resources for our own benefit. We cannot have these things because British Governments, whether Tory or Labour, serve international masters first and the British people second!**

# GOVERNMENT PLANS FALKLANDS SELL-OUT

THREE YEARS AGO an article published in *Spearhead* drew attention to talks that the Labour Government was then having with the Argentine Government about the future of the Falkland Islands, the sovereignty of which is claimed by Argentina. The article pointed out that the Falklands were, and always had been, unquestionably British, and that any plan to hand them over to Argentina would be a betrayal of the loyal Britons who live there.

It is therefore with consternation that we learn that Argentina has not given up her demands, and that in the face of renewed pressure from President Jorge Videla, the British Government has resumed "exploratory talks" with the Argentine authorities. That the Tories should even contemplate discussing the matter with Argentina is incredible; for they were among the most vociferous opponents of the talks undertaken by the Labour Government in 1978.

On this occasion Britain's envoy to Buenos Aires was Nicholas Ridley, a junior Minister at the Foreign Office, who greatly alarmed the islanders by suggesting to them that control of the islands should be handed over to Argentina and then leased back to Britain for an "undetermined period". We all know what the outcome of such an arrangement would be – an abject betrayal of the islanders in the not-too-distant future.

Fortunately, the islanders were not impressed by the mellifluous-tongued Ridley and told him what he could do with his proposal in no uncertain manner. This response was the only one to be expected from these rugged people who are unashamedly British and have no intention of accepting Argentina's unjustified claim on their homes and livelihood. Furthermore, the Falklanders are well aware of the islands' potentiality, which could be of immense benefit to the islanders and to Britain itself.

*President Jorge Videla*

It is hardly surprising that Argentina has set its sights on the Falklands. Reported deposits of off-shore oil and vast quantities of high-protein krill which live in the surrounding seas make ownership of the islands a very attractive proposition. In addition, agitation against what President Videla chooses to call the "British occupation" of the islands provides that gentleman and his corrupt régime with a convenient means of diverting his people's attention away from the squalid conditions in which many of them undoubtedly live.

*Contd. on next page*

## YOUR LETTERS

Sir,–Congratulations on the standard attained in the first issue of *New Frontier:* now we have a paper which is pungent, factual and hard-hitting and of which we can be justly proud.

The knowledge too, that we now have men of integrity in charge, whose watch-words are order and discipline, heralds the return of that invaluable ingredient of success – motivation.

We will, nevertheless, continue to be embarrassed by the antics, particularly the punk element, of the old NF, whom the media delight in publicizing and whom the public mind mainly fails to differentiate from the NNF. We must strive to make the difference known.

If we and future gnerations of Nationalists fail, our proud island heritage will pass to sickly hordes of aliens.

The very thought is motivation enough.

Northumbrian Nationalist,
Alnwick, Northumberland.
*Name and address supplied–Editor.*

Sir,–Although some of my oldest friends are Americans, I am deeply concerned at the increasing Americanisation which is becoming so apparent in our country. Vicious and violent American films that corrupt our youngsters are shown on TV, and British film makers and TV producers emulate them; American news is given prominence in the news media; even London, our capital city, is disfigured by American-style skyscrapers.

We used to be proud of our British Empire, but now we ourselves have become nothing more than an American colony, as is proved by the presence of American air bases on our soil.

Perhaps I may conclude by adding that I am not a communist and that my late husband was a refugee from the present regime in Russia.

E. Citovich, MA (Mrs.),
Felixstowe.

Sir,–Successive British Governments have said that we are short of money, but what is the truth? The British Government has recently paid out the following sums overseas:

£1,000 million to the EEC
£350 million for immigration
£100 million on food and technology for the USSR
£50 million for Polish shipbuilding
£31 million for roads in Zambia
£25 million to the Ugandan Government
£31 million for Blacks in "Zimbabwe"

And the above is, of course, only a fraction of what is spent overseas by the Government.

So when you are worried how you are going to pay your rent, or your mortgage, or your fuel bill, remember that YOUR Government spent thousands of millions of pounds of YOUR money overseas. But don't mention it, or march or demonstrate about it. You can march or demonstrate if you are a lesbian, a "gay", an anarchist, an illegal immigrant, or even a member of the IRA; but not if you are a British patriot, concerned how YOUR money is spent. No, you must keep your mouth shut; and should you think of organising a demonstration, be sure that the Home Secretary will ban it.

Stephen Farrell,
Merseyside.

# NEWS ROUND-UP

**LAST MONTH on our front page we gave some figures of the percentages of live births in our cities and boroughs to parents born in the New Commonwealth or Pakistan. One of the most startling figures was that of the London borough of Brent, which was 45 per-cent of all live births. It has been estimated that if we include parents born in Britain of coloured parents this figure for Brent rises to 62 per-cent! If this increase is projected all over London, we arrive at a figure for coloured births throughout the Greater London area of over 30 per-cent. In other words, 30 per-cent of children born in Greater London are now coloured.**

★★★

*CRIME by Black immigrants is always being blamed on unemployment, which, it is alleged, afflicts coloured people more than native-born Whites. In actual fact, the figures for November 1980 show 82,541 coloureds out of work out of a total of 2,071,200 in the whole of Mainland Britain. That is a percentage of 3.9 – certainly lower than the percentage of coloureds in the whole population. Coloureds, in other words, are less likely to suffer from job-deprivation than Whites.*

★★★

SECRET CABINET papers released under the 30-year rule have revealed that in 1950 the Colonial Secretary, James Griffiths, warned the Cabinet of the social problems that were likely to arise in Britain if immigrants were allowed to settle in established residential areas. The papers also indicate that Government Ministers were worried that Britain's welfare benefits would attract large numbers of immigrants to Britain.

★★★

**BRITAIN takes about 5,000 overseas students at its universities every year – at great expense to the British taxpayer. This, however, does not induce gratitude on the part of the students concerned. Recently mobs of them were staging occupations of several colleges in protest against an increase in the fees that they had to meet themselves. Did the Government call in the police to throw them out? Not a bit of it. It responded to the occupation by announcing – at a time of massive public expenditure cuts – that it was going to allocate a further £8 million to help 600 more overseas students to study in Britain next year.**

★★★

*LEFT-WING Labour MP Allan Roberts denies that he was whipped by men dressed in SS uniforms when visiting a homosexual club in Berlin recently, but he does not deny the visit to the club itself. When questioned whether he was a homosexual, he said: "My sexuality is private and not up for discussion." Now where have we heard that before?*

★★★

ONE-TIME Beatle John Lennon once said: "Christianity will wither and fade – we are more popular than Jesus now." In addition, Lennon was a drug-taker and left his wife to live with another drug-taker, Yoko Ono. This has not prevented Liverpool Cathedral being used for a special memorial service to Lennon, with a memorial address given by the Dean, the Very Rev. Edward Patey. The reason given? Lennon's commitment to peace. Oh yes, another thing – Lennon was a supporter of the IRA.

Our magazine, though it believes in racial differences, opposes race-hatred. All those who oppose multi-racialism should attack the politicians who promote it, not the immigrants, who are merely its victims.

# COMMON MARKET COMMENT

## ALTERNATIVE TO THE HANGMAN'S NOOSE

THE British people do not love the Common Market. They did not love it when we entered in 1973. They love it even less now.

Yet the pro-Marketeers still have a weapon in their armoury of propaganda which they continue to use very effectively. We may not love the Market, they say, but what else can we do but stay in? What alternative is there?

When you think about it, it's a silly argument really. The alternative to putting your head in a hangman's noose is not putting it in. The alternative to being in the Common Market is not being in the Common Market.

But, the pro-Marketeers say, Britain is not strong enough these days to survive outside the Common Market. We are no longer a world power. We no longer have an empire.

Well, neither are Switzerland or Sweden world powers, nor do they have empires. They are both much smaller than we are – you could fit the populations of either country into Greater London. They happen to be the two most prosperous countries in Europe.

And they are both outside the Common Market.

Joining them in the top league for prosperity among European nations is Norway. Norway has just 4 million people. Norway once conducted a referendum among its people on whether to go into the Common Market. The people had the good sense to say no. They have not regretted it.

Britain is far, far more powerful than either Switzerland, Sweden or Norway. In fact she is potentially the most powerful nation in Western Europe. If her industrial capacity is at the moment low, that is not because of lack of resources or human skills. It is because of bad industrial organisation and bad economic policies. It is also – in no small part – because of membership of the Common Market.

And Britain has one priceless asset that the other nations of Europe have not – Norway apart. She has the asset of North Sea oil and gas.

To say that Britain cannot survive outside the Common Market is preposterous. For hundreds of years she survived very well without any Common Market and before she began to build an empire. She can do so again.

So when people ask: what is the alternative to being in the Common Market? we answer: to get out and function as an independent nation again, utilising the full resources of the United Kingdom and its offshore oil and gas fields and exploiting the great skills of the British people as we have failed to exploit them for many years.

## BRITISH STOCK

Britain no longer has an empire – in the old sense of the word. But there are still huge areas of other continents populated predominantly by people of British stock. A lot of these people have grown rather disenchanted with Britain. After all, we let them down by going into Europe. And when they come and visit us and see the sort of country we've become it doesn't exactly encourage them to feel ancestral pride.

But if Britain left Europe and managed to stage a great national recovery there is no reason why the strong bonds with these overseas kith and kin should not eventually be restored. We could by our achievements make them proud of their British roots once again – and, not only that, we could offer them immense advantages in a close trading partnership with us. We could become a huge buyer of their minerals and food products once again, as we were in the past.

Out of this a new association could eventually be formed – different, no doubt, from the old empire or the present Commonwealth – but nevertheless of immense power and potential.

There is no end to the possibilities open to this country if only it is prepared to seize its own destiny in its own hands, stop whining about its weakness and realise its real strength. That strength has never for many decades been properly used because we haven't had the kind of leaders that want to use it or understand how to use it.

But the strength is there nevertheless. It lies dormant in the land and waters of Britain and in the genius of the British people.

*Anti-Marketeer*

AUSTRALIA AND HER MINERAL WEALTH




**TO SCALE**
**Combined countries of The original Six (above)**

# Bristol Riot: a whitewash

THE court verdicts on those involved in the St. Pauls riot in Bristol a year ago confirm what was said in *Spearhead* magazine in February: that Blacks in Britain are being given especially favoured treatment by the law and are being acquitted, or penalised very lightly, for crimes for which Whites would have received severe sentences.

After the riot, in which hundreds of Blacks terrorised a neighbourhood for several hours in an orgy of looting and burning, there were 134 arrests. Of those arrested, the amazingly low number of 16 were actually charged with an offence. Four of these were acquitted by magistrates without having to offer any defence. Three more were cleared by the jury on the judge's direction, and a further five were found not guilty last month. The same jury that pronounced this latter verdict stated that it could not reach any verdict by majority on the remaining four accused, and the judge has in consequence dismissed the jury and ordered a retrial of these four.

The Blacks acquitted immediately held an impromptu celebration party, and cockily announced a much bigger party to take place on the anniversary of the riot this month. Well might they be cocky. The White society that they despise has properly humiliated itself by these verdicts.

The 12-member jury consisted of 4 Coloureds. This was arrived at after the defendants had exploited to the full their right to reject one after another jurors formerly chosen – presumably on the grounds that they would be racially 'prejudiced'. Instead there was a jury one third of which was guaranteed from the start to be equally racially prejudiced in the other direction, that is in favour of the defendants. All that was needed was that 2 out of the 8 White jurors would be 'soft' on Blacks for it to be a certainty that convictions would not be obtained.

All this, plus an obviously 'liberal' judge, has ensured, for the moment at least, that those who terrorised a neighbourhood of a British city on one fearful night last year have gone scot-free.

# TALKING POINTS

## by FRONTIERSMAN

### MANIPULATING MINDS

Reviewing Nigel Fielding's *The National Front* in The Sunday Telegraph Ivan Rowan tells us that his main interest in reading the book was to discover the "motivation to political deviance, why some people go from questioning society to trying to turn it back to Front." Though I have not read the book (£12.50 is too much for this poor White!) I will try to enlighten Mr. Rowan.

It is not we Nationalists who have deviated. Our views and attitudes to society are remarkably similar to those of our ancestors. No; the real deviants are our many compatriots whose minds have been so manipulated by the largely alien opinion-makers who control TV and other organs of the mass media that they now believe any untruth; regard the virtues of patriotism, service and duty with derision; and denigrate the ideals, customs, beliefs and achievements of our forefathers.

### A DREADFUL LADY

My daily paper recently reported that Mrs. – sorry, Ms. – Maureen Colquhoun, the self-proclaimed lesbian who was MP for Northampton North, wants to become Labour's parliamentary candidate for Brecon and Radnor. Ms. Colquhoun's activities are of some interest to me as I contested her Northampton seat for the National Front in 1979. At that time she publicly announced that she would not share a platform with me. This was fortunate, for nothing would induce me to share a platform with her. Perhaps I will be forgiven for thinking that I helped, just a little, in ridding Northampton of this dreadful lady. We should commiserate with the good people of Brecon and Radnor.

### TELEPHONE TAPPING

Although never very sympathetic towards socialism, I must confess a sneaking admiration for the late Ernie Bevin, who was Minister of Labour in Churchill's wartime Coalition Government and Foreign Secretary in the postwar Labour Government. During his many years fighting on behalf of the British working man he showed a quality not discernible amongst many of his successors in the trade union movement or the Labour party – he was fiercely patriotic. While Foreign Secretary, Bevin was assiduous in keeping tabs on those he suspected of endangering British interests, no matter to what political party they belonged.

My opinion of Bevin went up a couple of notches recently after hearing

*Ernest Bevin*

wealthy Labour MP, Ian Mikardo, speaking on BBC radio. Fulminating about what he regards as the malpractice of telephone tapping, Mikardo revealed that he and his friends' telephones had been tapped on Bevin's orders while he was Foreign Secretary. Bevin, it seems, was greatly concerned about the subversive activities of Zionists in Britain. Mikardo gleefully recalled how he and his fellow Zionists resorted to speaking in Yiddish to confuse the telephone tappers whenever they suspected that their conversations were being monitored.

Bevin was quite right to have Mikardo's telephone tapped. It is the duty of governments to monitor the activities of anyone considered likely to be a threat to the State. Members of Parliament should not be immune from such surveillance, particularly if they are known to be furthering causes other than those of the British people.

### THE JACKMAN AFFAIR

The furore caused by sending Robin Jackman to the West Indies as a replacement for the injured Bob Willis is yet another example of how the absurd "Gleneagles Agreement" is being used by multiracialists and left wing agitators to disrupt international sport. With Government acquiescence these self-important pipsqueaks have been allowed to inject their hatred for South Africa into almost every facet of our daily lives. Though a cricket lover, I am firmly of the opinion that the England team should have returned home the moment that the Guyanan Government made it clear that Mr. Jackman was not welcome. If the England tour of the West Indies is ruined, so be it. And who cares if upstart West Indian politicians reciprocate by not sending teams here in future years? Let the South Africans come here instead. At least we would again be able to enjoy our national game without having to suffer a cacophony of clinking beer cans, or the rhythmic beating of steel drums.

### THERE'S PROMOTION FOR YOU!

Writing this column for our last issue, I predicted that Manchester MP George Morton's conviction for gross indecency in a public toilet was more likely to improve rather than harm his political prospects. I am not entirely surprised that my hunch has already proved correct. Not only has Mr. Morton been appointed Labour's whip on Standing Committee F that is currently debating the controversial Nationality Bill in Commons' committee room 14, he has also been invited to be principal speaker at a rally in Manchester organised by the Joint Committee against State Repression of Lesbian and Gay People. These events tend to prove my pet theory that some evidence of sexual perversion is a necessary passport for advancement in Establishment circles. What a queer world we live in!

### AMBITIOUS ROY

Mention of the Nationality Bill reminds me that during the Bill's second reading, Labour's ambitious Shadow Home Secretary Roy Hattersley, announced that he would like it made easier for immigrants to enter Britain; he added that a future Labour Government would repeal any legislation that makes it more difficult. This is strange because the Bill is largely based on proposals contained in Labour's Green Paper published in 1977. From this I can only assume that whereas in 1977 Mr. Hattersley and his friends thought that there were more votes to be had by pretending that they really were going to do something to limit immigration, they now think that there is more to be gained by ingratiating themselves with Britain's rapidly expanding coloured population. In any event Labour will have to rely heavily on the votes of coloured people if they are to stave off total annihilation at the next general election.

*Roy Hattersley*

### FALKLANDS SELL-OUT

*(Contd. from prev. page)*

Instead of continually undermining the position of the islanders, the British Government should provide them with aid to enable them to build the long runway and modern airport that they need to make them entirely independent of Argentina. The amount of aid required would be very much less than the enormous sums of British taxpayers' money forked out annually to fill the coffers of many an undeserving black African dictator.

The Falkland Islands could be made commercially and financially viable with just a little help from the Mother Country. Is it asking too much of us that we should come to the aid of those splendid and hardy people who are our kith and kin?

*CHRISTIAN WITNESS*

# ON USURY

USURY is the lending of money at interest solely for the purpose of making yet more money. In essence it is a means of making money out of nothing, a practice expressly forbidden in the Bible:

> "If thou lend money to any of my people with thee that is poor, thou shalt not be to him as a creditor; neither shall ye lay upon him usury."–Exodus 22:25

The Old Testament was written for the people of Israel. For well over a thousand years Christians obeyed the injunction quoted above prohibiting usury. The Jews, on the other hand, despite a similar injunction in the Talmud, felt no compunction about practising usury, particularly on Gentiles; and so it was that throughout Christendom they were able to establish themselves as moneylenders. By these means the Jews created an international financial structure in which they were predominant and which provided them with a means whereby they were able to control kings and governments. The Jewish predominance of the world's financial system persists to this day, as does their control of governments.

During the Middle Ages the Christian Church, recognizing how the Jews used their financial control to obtain political control, made various attempts to stamp out usury in order to limit Jewish financial power. Some popes even went so far as to issue decrees calling on Christian kings to expel Jews from their territories.

In 1275, King Edward I of England issued the *Statutum Judaismo* which forbade Jews from lending money at interest "for the glory of God and the common welfare." The Jews chose to ignore the Royal Command, with the result that Edward banished all Jews from the Kingdom on All Souls' Day 1290. Jews were not again seen in this country until Cromwell repealed Edward's Statute some 350 years later.

### ECONOMY SUBSERVIENT

From Cromwell's time onwards, the British economy has become increasingly subservient to the interests of the usurious financial system established by international Jewry. This state of affairs has been brought about with complete disregard for biblical teachings and has been accomplished with the connivance of the banks, particularly the Bank of England. It was the Bank of England's founder, William Patterson, who in 1694 remarked: "The Bank hath benefit of interest on all monies **which it creates out of nothing.**"

Britain's national debt began with the creation of the usurious banking system. William III borrowed £1,500,000 at 8% interest to finance his wars with the French. By the beginning of 1979 that debt had risen to £79,000,000,000. The reason for this astronomical figure is that the money is lent at **compound** interest. This ensures that governments are never able to pay back the sums borrowed when payment becomes due; and so they borrow yet more money at even higher rates of interest. It is a perfect chicken and egg situation.

So it is that the Jewish usurers have been able to gain control of governments and the fate of mankind. Needless to say, they exercise this control solely in their own interests and to their own power. Because we members of the New National Front recognize these facts and are prepared to draw attention to them, we will become the target of the Jewish financiers and their Gentile lackeys. We may be sure that they will wage a relentless war in an effort to silence us.

We must ensure that they do not succeed; and with God's help we must do our utmost to restore our country's financial system to one that is based on honest money. Only by so doing will we cast off the shackles imposed on us by the usurious financial system that benefits no one but the Jews.

*K. R. McKilliam, BA (Hons)*

# N.N.F. BEATS MARCH BAN IN MIDLANDS

DESPITE many efforts to ban it, the New National Front held its march in the Midlands on March 22nd. After bans had been placed on marches in Leicester, Wolverhampton, Coventry and Northampton, the NNF finally marched through Burton-on-Trent, after a series of secret rendezvous and re-directions arriving in the town with only a couple of hours' notice given of its coming. This ensured that no violent communist mob was present to create trouble on the occasion and it established that New NF marches take place in perfect order and peace except where they are attacked by political opponents.

After there had been announcements in the press and on TV and radio of bans in two places, many supporters who otherwise would have come to the march stayed away thinking that their journey would be wasted. This, together with the unavoidable confusion involved in the changes of rendezvous points at the last moment, severely affected the numbers of marchers, reducing them down to 150. However, the point was made that the party **will** exercise its right to demonstrate publicly in the streets of Britain and will not be cowed into inactivity by any official ban.

The modest size of the march column was admirably compensated for by its orderly and disciplined bearing, which impressed all present. New NF Leader John Tyndall wrote last year in *Spearhead* magazine that the time had come to concentrate first on **quality** in the party's public marches and only after that on quantity, and if it was necessary at first to suffer a great reduction in numbers in order to achieve this then that should be accepted. In fact the march would certainly have been the largest yet staged by the party had it been allowed to take place in normal circumstances, though it would even then have been smaller than some marches staged by other nationalist factions which go for numbers at all costs regardless of standards of appearance and behaviour.

Very welcome on the march column was an enlarged flag-party, supplied mainly by members of STAG who have clubbed in out of their own pockets to buy 6 brand new Union Jacks. We were also very pleased to have with us Terry and Cheryl Rowling of the Australian National Front, who are over in Britain on their honeymoon.

# New NF 'takes over' Brick Lane

NEW NATIONAL FRONT Leader John Tyndall last month led the NNF invasion of London's Brick Lane market. The largest sales team there for some years sold more than three hundred copies of *New Frontier*. In fact, there were so many sellers that there was a shortage of sales pitches and many were not allowed to sell.

The week following this mass sale the Old Front staged a "mass" sale. A meeting was held to organise this phenomenon where the "fat man" ranted on about discipline and leadership. The result? – as many as nineteen sellers turned up – so much for mass mobilisation!

Despite Police Chiefs' policies to preserve the site for the Old Front and the "Con. Movement" New National Front teams are nearly always larger than thirty sellers at Brick Lane. This is surely an indication that we are on our way to re-uniting the whole of the nationalist following under our strong leadership. This must surely be the reason for extra opposition from the establishment whenever our paths cross.

*Two young NEW FRONTIER vendors in Brick Lane*

## Progress in Plymouth

Plymouth continues to be a very active area for the New National Front. Plymouth members under Organiser Derek Merry, along with others from the South West of England, recently went to heckle Arthur Scargill at a meeting near Camborne, Cornwall, and made big local press headlines.

Plymouth East Group, under Ken Libby, have engaged in extensive leafletting of their area, including two schools. The school leafletting has been largely the work of Mr. Libby's son Jason. Some success has also been achieved in winning over supporters at Plymouth Polytechnic, a hotbed of Marxism.

Mr. Libby has also led groups of activists to the Barbican area, where the local fishermen have been in dispute over prices and EEC rulings, as at other fishing ports. The party has made many friends in this sector.

It is soon hoped to get an active group going in the Plymstock area to the South of the city.

Plymouth Branch was with the New NF right from the beginning and has always been among the most loyal areas of the party.

# G.L.C. ELECTIONS

LONDON BRANCHES of the New National Front will be contesting the capital's elections in May. The fight for the GLC is likely to be a difficult one due to the large numbers of individuals in London who claim to be Branches or Groups of the NF and who are determined to stand as candidates in these elections. Some Branches may even face two other candidates claiming to be NF in each constituency. Enfield in North London is likely to be one such Branch. The "NF Con. Movement" which would allow Blacks into its ranks and an Old Front member who supports queers in the NF leadership have both announced their intentions to stand as candidates.

But our message is loud and clear – **don't vote for cheap imitations, there is only one National Front – the New National Front – all other groups have watered down the policies or the principles or both.**

**VOTE NEW NATIONAL FRONT ON MAY 7th.**

## News from YN

THE YOUNG NATIONALISTS is now half way through its period of construction announced by the National Officer in January. So far Area Officers have been appointed for the North, Midlands & East Anglia, The South-east and The South-west.

Dave Ball, Northern Area Officer, has appointed several local Organisers following his acceptance of office. The three other Officers, Tony Williams, Paul Banks and Iain Wilson will be holding meetings over the next month.

An encouraging growth area is Scotland where a YN unit of more than twenty active members already exists. Branch Organiser Andrew Robertson and YN Officer Eric Brand are both actively recruiting in the Glasgow area and an Area Officer is likely to be appointed before very long.

A meeting of all YN Area Officers was held at the end of March at which plans were discussed for the production of a leaflet, a range of stickers, at least one YN badge and posters. The main difficulty is money for although some donations have been received printing costs are very high and the YN is not a very wealthy group.

YN National Officer Kevin Randall would like to take this opportunity to thank those who have already sent in donations, large or small they are all gratefully received. Thank you.

**Aged 14-25? White and British? If so there's a place for you in the**

**YOUNG NATIONALISTS**

The YN is the youth wing of the New National Front. Membership is open to all NNF members within the YN age group.

When applying for membership of the NNF please ask to be registered with the YN also.

Fill out the membership application form on this page or write to: Kevin Randall, PO Box 115, Hove, East Sussex, BN3 3SB.

*Read*

**SPEARHEAD**

For an in-depth view of Nationalist policies read *Spearhead*, a 20 page monthly magazine which supports the New National Front. Price 30p. Annual subscription £5.45. 42p for sample copy to: *Spearhead,* PO Box 115, Hove, E. Sussex BN3 3SB.

**BUY *NEW FRONTIER* IN BULK**

*New Frontier* retails at 10p from your local seller. Would bulk purchasers please order *only* in the quantities listed below. Standing orders welcomed.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 10 copies | £1.00 | + 30p post |
| 25 copies | £2.25 | + 87p post |
| 50 copies | £4.00 | + £1.43 post |
| 100 copies | £7.00 | + £1.73 post |
| 150 copies | £9.75 | + £1.90 post |
| 200 copies | £12.00 | + £2.05 post |
| 300 copies | £16.50 | + £2.35 post |
| 400 copies | £20.00 | + £2.55 post |
| 500 copies | £24.50 | ) Bulk rates |
| 1,000 copies | £46.00 | ) by roadline |

Cheques/postal orders to *New Frontier* PO Box 115, Hove, E. Sussex BN3 3SB. Please keep orders and enquiries concerning *New Frontier* totally separate from other correspondence in order to avoid delay and confusion.

# DAVE BRUCE ON AMERICAN T.V.

NEW NATIONAL FRONT Activities Organiser Dave Bruce faced a team from the American television company A.B.C. last month. The TV company is producing a documentary on racialist groups in Great Britain following the Bristol riots and the Deptford fire which Blacks claim was a racial killing.

Dave Bruce gave an outline of our party policy and principles stating the differences between the Old Front and the New National Front. These are very few – in fact only two main ones – we are against homosexuality and for strong internal party leadership.

It would appear that the American public are fed even more lies about nationalists in the UK than we are. The presenter was shocked when Dave denied that we were responsible for attacks on Blacks, "there is a lot of evidence to prove Blacks attack Whites but very little evidence of Blacks facing White violence" he said.

**Dave Bruce faces the cameras**



## Join STAG (Special Tactical Activities Group)

STAG (Special Tactical Activities Group) is an *elite* formation of activists that has been formed by the New National Front to engage in special duties demanding over and above the degree of service and commitment expected of the ordinary party member.

STAG members must be on call for instant operations and are expected to be available, with only genuine excuses such as work or illness accepted as reasons for non-attendance.

STAG members are expected to set a special example to the rest of the party in standards of activity, loyalty and discipline.

Not just anyone can join STAG. Applicants will be called for an interview and must satisfy the interviewing officer that they are of the required standard.

STAG provides the leading section of the flag party for NNF marches.

STAG is responsible for the personal security of the leader of the party on public occasions and for the security of any other leading officials as any occasion may demand.

STAG regularly sends detachments of activists to areas where the party has had no previously organised activity and where it wishes to break new ground.

STAG provides stewards responsible for maintaining order at party meetings.

STAG wants recruits – but not just any recruits. If you are between 16 and 45 years old and physically fit, and if you are keen for plenty of activity, apply to STAG, New National Front, PO Box 115, Hove, E. Sussex BN3 3SB.

# WHAT WE STAND FOR

- The return of leadership and statesmanship to British affairs.
- A government that will put British interests first.
- British withdrawal from the European Common Market.
- Closer links with the White Commonwealth.
- Protection of British industry by the exclusion of foreign manufactured goods from Britain.
- A sane financial system which will give to the people the purchasing power to buy the goods they produce.
- The revival of British farming.
- British ownership and control of British industry and resources.
- A clamp-down on social security spongers and the restoration of the incentive to work.
- An end to immigration and a start to repatriation.
- British support of the Whites in South Africa.
- An end to financial aid to black dictatorships.
- The strengthening of Britain's defences.
- Tougher treatment of criminals.
- The banning of the IRA, the death penalty for terrorists and firm support of the Loyalist majority in Northern Ireland.
- Repeal of the laws permitting Abortion and Homosexuality and a general toughening up of legislation against the promotion of obscenity in the media.
- The elimination of Communism in Britain, in the mass media, in the factory and in the schoolroom.

# WRITE TO US

To: New National Front, PO Box 115, Hove, East Sussex BN3 3SB.
*Tick appropriate box*

Please send me more information about the New National Front for which I enclose 20p. ☐

I wish to become a Full Member of the New National Front and enclose £6.00. (Half-rate for students and OAPs) ☐

I wish to become an Associate Member of the New National Front and enclose £3.00. (No half-rate) ☐

Name.....

Address.....

.....

# SUBSCRIBE!

In order to make sure of receiving *New Frontier* regularly, why not take out a subscription? The rate is £1.50 for 6 issues or £3.00 for 12 issues for subscribers in the British Isles. For subscribers overseas the rate is £1.75 for 6 issues or £3.50 for 12 issues (surface mail). Please make cheques/POs payable to *New Frontier* and send to *New Frontier,* PO Box 115, Hove, E. Sussex BN3 3SB.

Name..... NATIONAL FRONT

Address..... 53, Greencroft Towers,

..... Felling, Gateshead 10.

*OVERSEAS SUBSCRIBERS WELCOMED*

*Printed & published by New Frontier, PO Box 115, Hove, E. Sussex BN3 3SB*